| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---------------------------------|--|
| | 10/796,531 | TANG, YING |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Katarzyna Wyrozebski | 1714 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: | |
| (1) <u>Katarzyna Wyrozebski</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Charles Gaines</u> . | (4) | • |
| Date of Interview: 11 April 2007 | Time: <u>1100</u> | , |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | cant's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| Claims discussed: pending claims | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: none | • | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet | ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA | AS DISCUSSED: |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand. | he examiner will provide a wri | tten summary of the substance e interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | ر | |
| Monnel | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicar | nt/Applicant's Representative S | Signature – if appropriate) |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted applicant's representative, since the priority information given in the declaration did not match the priority information of provided in the first paragraph of the specification. There was also a lack of continuity in the priority. The applicants representative complied with filing supplemental declaration.